UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

STATE OF TEXAS et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
RISING EAGLE CAPITAL GROUP LLC et al.,
Defendants

CASE NO. 4:20-cv-02021

DEFENDANTS SCOTT SHAPIRO'S OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFFS' DESIGNATIONS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY

Defendant Scott Shapiro ("Mr. Shapiro") submits these objections to Plaintiffs' Designations of Deposition Testimony of Amy Brady.

General Objections

Mr. Shapiro objects to all of the below designations because all of them comprise irrelevant information and/or information that, even if relevant, is more prejudicial and/or confusing than it is probative. *See* Fed. R. Evid. 401, 403. Mr. Shapiro also adopts and incorporates herein by reference the objections of defendants Michael Smith and Health Advisors of America. Finally, Mr. Shapiro also specifically asserts the following objections:

Begin	End	Mr. Shapiro's Objection to Designation	Plaintiffs' Response	Ruling
	Brady,	Amy — May 20, 2	2022	
13:14	13:16	See general objections.		[] Sustained [] Overruled [] Obj. w/d [] Design. w/d [] Amended

16:10	17:3	See general	[] Sustained
		objections.	[] Overruled
			[] Obj. w/d
			[] Design. w/d
			[] Amended

Begin	End	Defendants' Objection to Designation	Plaintiffs' Response	Ruling
17:14	17:25	See general objections.		[] Sustained [] Overruled [] Obj. w/d [] Design. w/d [] Amended
18:6	18:8	See general objections.		[] Sustained [] Overruled [] Obj. w/d [] Design. w/d [] Amended
18:25	19:20	See general objections.		[] Sustained [] Overruled [] Obj. w/d [] Design. w/d [] Amended
18:25	19:20	Objection, this designation is duplicative. See general objections.		[] Sustained [] Overruled [] Obj. w/d [] Design. w/d [] Amended
34:19	35:5	See general objections. Also, hearsay, FRE 801.		[] Sustained [] Overruled [] Obj. w/d [] Design. w/d [] Amended
39:8	40:2	See general objections. Also, hearsay, nonresponsive, vague and confusing question: FRE 801, 403, 611(a).		[] Sustained [] Overruled [] Obj. w/d [] Design. w/d [] Amended
41:1	42:5	See general objections. Also, hearsay, document speaks for itself, confusing question: FRE 801, 403, 611(a).		[] Sustained [] Overruled [] Obj. w/d [] Design. w/d [] Amended

Case 4:20-cv-02021 Document 238 Filed on 05/12/23 in TXSD Page 4 of 8

47:1	48:1	See general	[] Sustained
		objections. Also,	[] Overruled
		hearsay, document	[] Obj. w/d
		speaks for itself,	[] Design. w/d
		leading question,	[] Amended
		nonresponsive	
		answer: FRE 801,	
		403, 611(a).	

Begin	End	Defendants' Objection to Designation	Plaintiffs' Response	Ruling
48:12	50:22	See general objections. Also, hearsay, no foundation, nonresponsive answer: FRE 801,		[] Sustained [] Overruled [] Obj. w/d [] Design. w/d [] Amended
53:12	54:23	403, 611(a). See general objections. Also, Leading questions, calls for hearsay, hypothetical questions, confusing, no foundation, speculation: FRE 801, 403, 602, 611(a).		[] Sustained [] Overruled [] Obj. w/d [] Design. w/d [] Amended
56:23	57:3	See general objections. Also, no foundation, non-responsive: FRE 602.		[] Sustained [] Overruled [] Obj. w/d [] Design. w/d [] Amended
79:2	85:18	See general objections. Also, hearsay, no foundation, Leading questions, non responsive: FRE 801, 403, 602, 611(a).		[] Sustained [] Overruled [] Obj. w/d [] Design. w/d [] Amended
85:21	87:13	See general objections. Also, hearsay, no foundation, Leading questions, speculation: FRE 801, 403, 602. 611(a).		[] Sustained [] Overruled [] Obj. w/d [] Design. w/d [] Amended
89:4	90:10	See general objections. Also,		

		hearsay, no foundation: FRE 801, 403, 602.	
90:19	90:23	See general objections. Also, hearsay, no foundation: FRE 801, 403, 602.	[] Sustained [] Overruled [] Obj. w/d [] Design. w/d [] Amended
92:1	92:2 4	See general objections. Also, hearsay, no foundation, nonresponsive: FRE 801, 403, 602.	[] Sustained [] Overruled [] Obj. w/d [] Design. w/d [] Amended
97:10	97:18	See general objections. Also, nonresponsive, no foundation: FRE 403, 602.	[] Sustained [] Overruled [] Obj. w/d [] Design. w/d [] Amended

Begin	End	Defendants' Objection to Designation	Plaintiffs' Response	Ruling
108:5	111:20	See general objections. Also, nonresponsive, no foundation: FRE 403, 602.		[] Sustained [] Overruled [] Obj. w/d [] Design. w/d [] Amended
115:20	118:3	See general objections. Also, nonresponsive, no foundation, leading questions: FRE 403, 602, 611(a).		[] Sustained [] Overruled [] Obj. w/d [] Design. w/d [] Amended
121:23	122:1	See general objections. Also, no foundation and no substantive testimony: FRE 403, 602.		[] Sustained [] Overruled [] Obj. w/d [] Design. w/d [] Amended
125:21	128:24	See general objections. Also, no foundation, nonresponsive, hearsay, leading questions: FRE 403, 602, 801, 611(a).		[] Sustained [] Overruled [] Obj. w/d [] Design. w/d [] Amended
131:17	135:23	See general objections. Also, no foundation, nonresponsive, hearsay, leading questions, more prejudicial than probative: FRE 403, 602, 801, 611(a).		[] Sustained [] Overruled [] Obj. w/d [] Design. w/d [] Amended

Respectfully submitted,

SCOTT SHAPIRO,

By, His Counsel,

/s/ Shepard Davidson

SHEPARD DAVIDSON (BBO #557082) sdavidson@burnslev.com Burns and Levison LLP 125 High Street Boston, MA 02110 Telephone: 617-345-3000

Facsimile: 617-345-3000

DAVID R. THRASHER State Bar No. (24027922) Kane Russell Coleman Logan PC 5051 Westheimer Road, 10th Floor Houston, Texas 77056 (713) 425-7400 Phone (713) 425-7700 Facsimile

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT SCOTT SHAPIRO

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served via ECF upon all counsel of record this 12^{th} day of May, 2023.

/s/ David R. Thrasher
David R. Thrasher